NO FEE DUE GOV'T CODE § 6103

1			
2	SCOTT KUHN, Assistant County Counsel ANDREA ROSS, Principal Deputy Counsel		
3	STEVEN DE SALVO, Senior Deputy County Counsel		
	IDA ANBARIAN, Deputy County Counsel HANNAH FLORES, Deputy County Counsel		
4	(SBN 305873) • <u>hflores@counsel.lacounty.gov</u>		
5	OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL		
6	648 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration 500 West Temple Street		
7	Los Angeles, California 90012-2713		
8	Telephone: (213) 808-8783 ·Fax: (213) 680-216	5	
	Attorneys for Plaintiff THE PEOPLE OF THE		
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS A	NGELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT	
12	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	CASE NO.	
13	CALIFORNIA, by and through DAWYN R. HARRISON, County Counsel for	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF	
14	the County of Los Angeles,	CALIFORNIA BUSINESS AND	
15	Plaintiff,	PROFESSIONS CODE §§ 17200 et seq.	
16		(Unlimited Action)	
	v.		
17	PEACOCK TV LLC, a limited liability		
18	company, a subsidiary of NBCUNIVERSAL MEDIA LLC		
19	Definition		
20	Defendant.		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
20			
	HOA.105248674.4 COMPLAINT		

1	NATURE OF THE ACTION			
2	1. Plaintiff, People of the State of California, by and through Dawyn R. Harrison,			
3	County Counsel for County of Los Angeles (hereinafter People), hereby brings this action against			
4	Defendant Peacock TV LLC, a subsidiary of NBCUniversal Media, LLC (hereinafter Defendant),			
5	and alleges the following:			
6	INTRODUCTION			
7	2. The People bring this civil law enforcement to compel compliance by Defendant			
8	and its subsidiaries, affiliates and portfolio companies, with California's Unfair Competition Law			
9	(UCL), codified at Business and Professions Code (Bus. & Prof. Code) § 17200 et seq.,			
10	California's Automatic Renewal Law (ARL), codified at Bus & Prof. Code § 17600 et seq., and			
11	the Restore Online Shoppers' Confidence Act (ROSCA) as defined by 15 United States			
12	Code (15 U.S.C.) § 8403, in order to seek (1) injunctive relief; (2) civil penalties for Defendant's			
13	past violations of these statutes; and (3) restitution for California consumers who have been			
14	aggrieved by Defendant's violations of the UCL and ARL.			
15	3. Defendant operates a nationwide business that provides streaming services,			
16	including within the State of California, via an online platform that allows users to watch a variety			
17	of media content, such as movies, television (TV) shows, sports games, award shows, and other			
18	forms of media, on-demand over the internet.			
19	4. To access Defendant's streaming services, consumers must enroll in automatic			
20	renewal subscription plans. In addition to standard priced subscription plans, Defendant frequently			
21	offers a wide range of promotional offers, including free trials. These promotional offers			
22	automatically revert to a standard priced subscription plan after the end of the promotional time			
23	period.			
24	///			
25	///			
26	///			
27				
28				
	HOA.105248674.4 -2- COMPLAINT			
	COMPLAINT			

Solution 5. Consumers wishing to enroll directly with Defendant's streaming services must
 create an account through Defendant's website or service platform, where they can activate a
 subscription plan.¹

6. Defendant, in providing streaming services through their streaming platform, has
 failed to comply with disclosure, consent, acknowledgment and cancellation requirements related
 to both their standard and promotional offers, in violation of the UCL, Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200
 et seq., and ARL, Bus. & Prof. Code § 17600 et seq., with respect to California consumers.

Plaintiff

8

9

PARTIES

10 7. Plaintiff People of the State of California are hereby represented by and through
11 Dawyn R. Harrison, County Counsel for the County of Los Angeles, who acts on their behalf
12 pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, 17203, 17204, and 17206.

13 Defendant

14 8. Defendant, Peacock TV LLC, a subsidiary of NBC Universal Media LLC, is a
15 limited liability company, with its principal place of business at 30 Rockefeller Plaza, New York,
16 New York, 10112. Defendant has conducted business throughout the State of California.

17 9. Defendant has transacted business by providing streaming services including
18 movies, TV, and news nationwide, including within the County of Los Angeles and elsewhere in
19 the State of California, and the violations of law alleged herein have been carried out therein.

20 10. Whenever reference is made in this Complaint to any act of Defendant, such
21 allegation shall be deemed to mean the Defendant, and its employees, agents, officers, directors
22 and representatives who did act, or authorized such acts while actively engaged in the
23 management, direction, or control of the affairs of said Defendant and while acting within the
24 scope and course of their duties.

25 ////

26 ////

HOA.105248674.4

27

28

¹ <u>Peacock: Stream TV and Movies Online, Watch Live News and Sports</u>

-3-COMPLAINT 1

JURISDICTION, VENUE, AND TOLLING OF STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

2 11. The Superior Court has original jurisdiction over this action pursuant to the
3 California Constitution, Article VI § 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in
4 all causes other than those specifically enumerated therein.

5 12. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure (Code of Civ. Proc.) § 410.10, this
6 action lies within the general jurisdiction of this Court, because the causes of action arise under
7 California law and Defendant do business within California. Defendant at all times mentioned in
8 this Complaint, has advertised and transacted business by selling online streaming services and
9 advertising to consumers within Los Angeles County.

10 13. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant regularly
11 solicited, advertised, transacted and performed business services in California and purposefully
12 directed its actions into California, including by publishing advertisements and their services on
13 their website available to consumer within the State. Defendant has the requisite minimum
14 contacts with California necessary to permit the Court constitutionally to exercise jurisdiction, and
15 to render that exercise of jurisdiction permissible under traditional notions of fair play and
16 substantial justice.

17 14. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the People's claims for restitution,
18 civil penalties, injunctive relief, and other equitable relief under the UCL.

19 15. Pursuant to Superior Court Local Rule 2.3 and Code of Civ. Proc. § 302, 393 and
20 395.5, venue is proper in the Superior Court as Plaintiff is seeking to recover penalties imposed by
21 statute, and as violations alleged in this Complaint occurred in part in the County of Los Angeles.

22 16. This case is an unlimited civil case because it is not one of the proceedings
23 described by statute as a limited civil case.

- 24 ////
- 25 ////
- 26 ////
- 27 || / / /
- 28 ////

HOA.105248674.4

COMPLAINT

1	CENERAL ALLECATIONS			
2	GENERAL ALLEGATIONS 17. Between July 15, 2020, and present, Defendant in a course of conduct constituting			
2	acts of unfair competition as defined by Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et. seq. the UCL, engaged in			
4		•	violation of the ARL, Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17601 and 17602, and ROSCA,	
5	15 U.S.C. § 8		violation of the fille, bus, & fior. Code §§ 17001 and 17002, and ROBER,	
6	18.		ndant violated the ARL, Bus. & Prof. Code § 17600 et seq., as follows:	
7	10.	a.	Failed to present in a "clear and conspicuous manner" the "automatic	
8		u.	renewal offer terms" to the request for consent before the subscription	
9			agreement is fulfilled, as set forth in Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(a)(1);	
10		b.	When offering a free gift or trial, failed to include a clear and conspicuous	
10		0.	explanation of the price that will be charged after the trial ends, as set forth	
11			in Bus. & Prof. Code §17602(a)(1);	
12		c.	Failed to first obtain the consumer's affirmative consent to the automatic	
13		С.	renewal offer terms, including the terms of the free gift or promotional or	
15			discounted price, prior to charging the consumer's credit or debit card, as set	
16			forth in Bus. & Prof. Code §17602(a)(2);	
17		d.	Failed to provide an acknowledgement listing the automatic renewal offer	
18		u.	terms, and explaining the cancellation policy and how to cancel, as set forth	
10			in Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602 (a)(3);	
20		e.	Failed to seek express affirmative consent to the automatic renewal offer	
21			terms, as set forth in Bus. & Prof. § 17602 (a)(4);	
22		f.	Failed to provide an easy-to-use mechanism of cancellation, as set forth in	
23			Bus. & Prof. § 17602 (c)(1);	
24	///			
25				
26				
27				
28				
	HOA.105248674.4		5	
	10/11/02/00/7.4		-5- COMPLAINT	

1	19.	Defendant violated ROSCA as defined in as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 8403, as	
2	follows:		
3		g. Failed to provide text that clearly and conspicuously discloses all material	
4		terms before obtaining the consumer's billing information, as set forth in	
5		ROSCA, 15 USC § 8403 (1);	
6		h. Failed to obtain express informed consent before charging the consumer, as	
7		set forth in ROSCA, 15 USC § 8403 (2); and	
8		i. Failed to provide a simple mechanism for termination of services, as set	
9		forth in ROSCA 15 U.S.C. §8403 (3).	
10		FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION	
11	(Business and Professions Code § 17200 – Unlawful and Unfair Business Practices)		
12		By the People against Defendant	
13	20.	Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every one of the	
14	allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 19 of this Complaint.		
15	21. Defendant is a "person" as defined by Bus. & Prof. Code § 17201, which includes		
16	"natural persons, corporations, firms, partnerships, joint stock companies, associations and other		
17	organizations	of persons";	
18	22.	Defendant has committed acts of unfair competition as defined by Bus. & Prof.	
19	Code § 17200	by engaging in unlawful, unfair and fraudulent acts and practices.	
20	23.	Defendant's acts are "unlawful" pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code § 17201 in that they	
21	violated the ARL, pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17602, et.al. and ROSCA, pursuant to 15		
22	U.S.C. § 8403 (1-3) as described above.		
23	24.	Defendant's acts are unfair pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, as defined by	
24	law both to Defendant's consumers and to its corporation because they obtained an unfair		
25	advantage ove	er other businesses in the County that were complying with State law.	
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		
	HOA.105248674.4	-6-	
I	1	COMPLAINT	

1	25. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts and practices, Defendant has			
2	received income, profit, and other benefits, which they would not have received had they not			
3	violated the UCL, Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq.;			
4	26. The business practices as described above present a continuing threat to members			
5	of the public in that Defendant continues to enroll consumers in automatic renewal subscriptions			
6	and to collect money from consumers. Unless enjoined by the Court, the alleged unlawful and			
7	unfair practices by Defendant will continue.			
8	27. The People are entitled to an injunctive order to cease unfair business practices			
9	alleged herein pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code § 17535.			
10	28. The People further seek civil penalties under Bus. & Prof. Code § 17536 for each			
11	violation of Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200.			
12	PRAYER FOR RELIEF			
13	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:			
14	1. Pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code § 17204, that Defendant, its successors, agents,			
15	representatives, employees, and all persons who act in concert with them, be preliminary and			
16	permanently enjoined from engaging in unfair competition as defined in Bus. & Prof.			
17	Code § 17200 et seq., including, but not limited to, the acts and practices alleged in this			
18	Complaint.			
19	2. Pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code § 17203, that the Court enter all judgments as may			
20	be necessary to restore to any person in interest any money or property that may have been			
21	acquired by violations of Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et. seq. and 17500 et. seq., as may be			
22	proven at trial.			
23	3. Pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code § 17206, that Defendant be assessed civil penalty in			
24	an amount up to \$2,500 for each violation of Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17200 et seq., as may be			
25	proven at trial.			
26	///			
27	///			
28	///			
	HOA.105248674.4 -7- COMPLAINT			
I				

1	4.	4. That the People recover their costs of suit.		
2	5.	Such other and further relief	that the	Court deems appropriate and just.
3				
4	DATED: Jul	ly 15, 2025	Respe	ectfully submitted,
5				YN R. HARRISON
6			Count	ty Counsel
7				
8			By	HANNAH FLORES
9				Deputy County Counsel
10			Attor	neys for Plaintiff
11			THE	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18 19				
19 20				
20				
21				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	HOA.105248674.4	L	8-	
			<u>-8</u> COMPL	AINT