THIEN HO, District Attorney 1 LISA BROWN, Deputy District Attorney (99150) Superior Court of California Office of the District Attorney, County of Sacramento County of Sacramento Consumer and Environmental Protection Division 06/03/2025 3 906 G Street, Suite 700 P. Lopez, Deputy Sacramento, CA 95814 4 (916) 874-9656 BrownL@sacda.org 5 Additional Counsel for Plaintiff Listed in Appendix A 6 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO 10 11 12 Case No.: 23CV002779 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, 13 (PROPOSED) PERMANENT 14 INJUNCTION AND FINAL JUDGMENT Plaintiff, PURSUANT TO STIPULATION 15 VS. BETWEEN PLAINTIFF AND 16 DEFENDANTS PURE MAINTENANCE HOLDINGS LLC, PURE PRODUCTS LLC. 17 MICHAEL ADAMS. Dept: 18 **BRANDON MICHAEL ADAMS** AND DOES ONE THROUGH TWENTY 19 Defendants 20 2.1 Plaintiff, the People of the State of California ("Plaintiff"), by and through its 22 attorneys and Defendants appearing through authorized representative and attorney 23 ("Defendants") HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS: 24 The term "Parties," as used hereafter, refers collectively to Plaintiff and 25 1. Defendants; "Defendants" shall include any subsidiaries or affiliated entities, and their 26 predecessors, successors and assigns.

- 2. The Complaint in this Action alleges that the Defendants operated a mold remediation company that advertised, sold and delivered to its licensees and members of the public in Sacramento and elsewhere in the state, pesticide products in violation of state and federal pesticide laws and in doing so used false and misleading advertisements and committed illegal and unfair business practices as these violations placed their businesses at an unfair advantage over other businesses that complied with the law.
- 3. Based on these allegations, Plaintiff has alleged four separate causes of action against the Defendants: (1) injunctive relief and civil penalties for violations of false advertising laws in violation of section 17500, et seq. of the California Business and Professions Code; (2) injunctive relief and civil penalties for violation of pesticide use laws and regulations in violation of the California Food and Agricultural Code Divisions 6; (3) injunctive relief and civil penalties for violation of pesticide use laws and regulations in violation of the California Food and Agricultural Code Division 7, and (4) injunctive relief and civil penalties for unlawful, unfair, and/or fraudulent business practices in violation of section 17200, et seq. of the California Business and Professions Code.
- 4. Prior to the filing of this Complaint, the Environmental Protection Agency notified Defendants several times of their noncompliance and attempted to bring Defendants into compliance with the law. These attempts were unsuccessful. Similarly, Plaintiff's attorneys contacted Defendants several times from 2020 to the filing of the complaint in 2023 and attempted to obtain their compliance but were also unsuccessful. Compliance was not achieved until after the complaint herein was filed in 2023.
- 5. Plaintiff and Defendants have agreed to settle this Action on the terms set forth in this Stipulated Judgment. Plaintiff believes that the resolution of the violations alleged in this Action is fair and reasonable and fulfills Plaintiff's enforcement objectives as to Defendants, that no further action is warranted concerning the violations alleged in

the Complaint as to Defendants except as provided pursuant to this Stipulated Judgment, and that this Stipulated Judgment is in the best interests of the general public.

- 6. By signing this Stipulation, Defendants hereby admit that there is a sufficient factual basis set forth in the Complaint to establish liability for the four causes of action set forth in the Complaint.
- 7. The Parties, in accordance with, and in reliance upon the consideration and covenants contained herein, the sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, enter into this Stipulated Judgment to fully, completely and finally resolve and settle all of the matters released below.
- 8. The Parties waive any right to set aside the Final Judgment through any collateral attack, and further waive their right to appeal from the Final Judgment.
- 9. This Court may enter this Order for Final Judgment and Injunction (Final Judgment) before the taking of any proof and without trial or adjudication of any fact or law on ex parte request of any Party and without notice to the other Parties.
- 10. This Stipulation may be signed by counsel and by the parties in counterparts and on multiple signature pages. A copy of any signature, whether transmitted by mail, e-mail or facsimile, shall be as valid and binding as an original signature.

NOW THEREFORE, the People and Defendants having requested that this

Court enter this Final Judgment, and the Court having considered the Final Judgment
reached between the Parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND

DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

#### 1.0 Jurisdiction

The Parties are generally appearing before the Superior Court of California,

County of Sacramento, which has subject matter jurisdiction over the matters alleged in
this action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties to this Final Judgment. This Court

shall retain said jurisdiction under Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6 until termination of this Judgment.

#### 2.0 Settlement of Disputed Claims

The Parties have stipulated and consented to the entry of this Final Judgment prior to the taking of any proof, and without trial or adjudication of facts or law herein. The Court finds that this Final Judgment is a fair and reasonable resolution of the Covered Matters (as defined in paragraph 6.0, below), and pursuant to agreement of the Parties, the right to appeal is waived.

#### 3.0 Definitions

Except where otherwise expressly defined in this Final Judgment, all terms shall be interpreted consistent with Divisions 6 and 7 of the Food and Agricultural Code and Business and Professions Code, Division 3, Chapter 14, and regulations promulgated thereunder.

## 4.0 Payment of Penalties and Costs

Pursuant to Government Code section 26506, the proceeds of any civil penalties or other monetary awards recovered in any civil action brought jointly in the name of the People of the State of California by any combination of one or more district attorneys shall be paid as approved by the Court.

- 4.1 Defendant shall pay a total of \$275,000.00 in civil penalties as follows:
- (a) \$75,000.00 as civil penalties for false advertising in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 17536.
- (b) \$200,000.00 as civil penalties for unfair/illegal business practices in accordance with Business and Professions Code sections 17203, 17206 and 17207.
  - (c) Payments shall be made in accordance with the terms of Exhibit A.
  - 4.2 Reimbursement of Costs of Investigation and Enforcement

16

17

15

18 19

20 21

2223

2425

2627

28

Defendants shall pay the sum of \$150,000.00 for partial reimbursement of attorney's fees, costs of investigation, and other costs of enforcement, in accordance with the terms of Exhibit B.

# 4.3 Procedure for Payment and Notice

Payments imposed upon Defendants pursuant to this Paragraph shall be made by certified checks and delivered to:

Office of the District Attorney, Sacramento County Attention: Lisa Brown, Deputy District Attorney Consumer & Environmental Protection Division 906 G Street, Suite 700 Sacramento, CA 95814

The payments imposed upon Defendants pursuant to Paragraph 4.1 and 4.2 shall be due and made payable as detailed in Exhibits C-G.

#### 4.4 Payments

All payments shall be delivered to the Sacramento County District Attorney's Office. Upon receipt, the Sacramento County District Attorney's Office shall be responsible for disbursing the checks to the named enforcement agencies in Exhibits A-G accordance with the terms of this Stipulated Judgment.

#### 4.5 IRS Form 1098-F

The People will report the civil penalties through an IRS Form 1098-F, pursuant to and consistent with 26 U.S.C. section 6050X. Defendant shall provide to the People an IRS Form W-9 within thirty calendar days of entry of this Judgment, and any other information the People reasonably required to fulfill its reporting obligations within ten days of the People's request.

#### 4.6 Time is of the Essence

In the event that any require payment is not received by the date it is due, or is in an amount that is less than stated in this Final Judgment, and Defendant fails to cure such failure within ten (10) days, the entire unpaid balance of the Final Judgement is

12

10

17

18 19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

28

immediately due and payable and statutory interest of ten per cent (10%) shall accrue on the entire remaining balance without further demand or notice.

# 5.0 Injunction

## 5.1 Applicability

The injunctive provisions of this Final Judgment are applicable to Defendants, their subsidiaries and divisions, and any agent, employee, representative and all persons, corporations, or other entities acting by, though, under, or on behalf of Defendants and all persons in concert with or participating with Defendants with actual or constructive knowledge of this injunction, only insofar as they are doing business in the State of California, both their current business and at any new business. Defendants are hereby enjoined pursuant to Food and Agricultural Code sections 11893, 11895 and 13000.1 and Business and Professions Code section 17203 and 17535 as of the Effective Date of the Final Judgment entered in this action, as follows:

## 5.2. Prohibitory Injunction

Defendants shall not violate any of the laws and/or regulations detailed in the First, Second, Third and Fourth Causes of Action in the complaint.

# 5.3 **Mandatory Injunction**

- (a) Defendants shall obtain all legally required permits and licenses prior to advertising or conducting any business in California.
- (b) Defendants shall consent to all requested inspections during normal business hours by any environmental regulatory agency, including, but not limited to, those detailed in the complaint.
- (c) Defendants shall notify Plaintiff, in accordance with the procedure in paragraph 8.12, of any new businesses related to pesticides in California 30 days prior to commencement of such operations.
- (d) Defendants shall notify Plaintiff, in accordance with the procedure in paragraph 8.12, of any sale or change of ownership of Pure Maintenance Holdings LLC or Pure Products LLC within 30 days of such change.

- (e) Defendants shall contract out or hire a compliance manager to handle regulatory compliance.
- (f) A copy of any notice of noncompliance or notice of violation by any environmental regulatory agency, including but not limited to those detailed in the complaint herein, shall be sent to Plaintiff within 30 days of receipt by Defendants in the manner detailed in paragraph 8.12.
- (g) As to Defendants Pure Maintenance Holdings LLC and Pure Products LLC only, within 60 days of entry of judgment and on the anniversaries of the entry of the Judgment for the next five years, they shall deliver to the Sacramento County District Attorney's Office, as provided in paragraph 8.12, a written certification under penalty of perjury, certifying that the actions described in paragraph 5.3 have been complied with and that the requirements in paragraph 5.2 have not been violated.
- (h) Defendants shall send a copy of the complaint and stipulated judgment in this matter to every licensee of Pure Maintenance Holding LLC located within the United States of America within 30 days of entry of judgment herein.
- (i) Within 60 days of entry of judgment herein Defendants shall send a written notice to every licensee of Pure Maintenance Holding LLC located within the United States of America that any advertising they are using that was copied from the Pure Maintenance Holding LLC website or training materials should be carefully reviewed as much of it violated applicable laws regarding pesticides and /or false statements as detailed in the complaint.
- (j) In any training of its licensees, Defendants shall explain that the only claims about the effects of the pesticides used in their services that may be made are those claims that are allowed by the approved label of the pesticides used.
- (k) Within 60 days of entry of judgment herein Defendants shall send a written notice to every licensee of Pure Maintenance Holding LLC located within the State of California the 2022 handout issued by the California Department of Pesticide

Regulation "Licensing and Registration Requirements, Sanitizing and Disinfection Services". Defendants shall provide this handout to every new licensee of Pure Maintenance Holding LLC located within the State of California within 30 days of their signing a licensing agreement.

- (I) For a period of 5 years from the date of entry of judgment herein, Defendant Pure Maintenance Holding LLC shall conduct a yearly self audit of all of its advertising and training to ensure that all claims and instructions are allowed by the approved labels of the pesticides used, recommended or sold for its services.
- (m) For a period of 5 years from the date of entry of judgment herein,
  Defendant Pure Maintenance Holding LLC shall conduct a yearly self audit of all of the
  websites of its California licensees to ensure that all claims and instructions are allowed
  by the approved labels of the pesticides used, recommended or sold for their services
  and to determine if there are any claims for virus, bacteria, control or disinfection as
  those claims may require a Pest Control Business license. If any claims are found that
  are in conflict with the approved labels or claims made for virus, bacteria, control or
  disinfection, notice shall be given in the manner required by paragraph 8.12.

# 6.0 Matters Covered by this Stipulated Judgment

This Stipulated Judgment is a final and binding resolution and settlement of all claims, alleged in this Action against Defendants up to the date of execution of this Stipulated Judgment. The matters described in the previous sentence are "Covered Matters". Any claim, violation, or cause of action that is not a Covered Matter is a "Reserved Claim." Reserved Claims include, without limitation: (1) any unknown violation, (2) any violation of the injunctive provisions of the Final Judgment entered in this action, (3) any violation that occurs after the date on which the Court enters an Order approving this Stipulated Judgment; and (4) any claim, violation, or cause of action against Defendants independent contractors or subcontractors. The Parties reserve the right to pursue, or defend against, any reserved claim.

- 6.1 The provisions of Paragraph 6.0 are expressly conditioned on Defendants making full payment pursuant to Paragraph 4.
- 6.2 In any subsequent action that may be brought by Plaintiff based on any Reserved Claim, Defendant cannot assert that failing to pursue any Reserved Claim as part of this action constitutes claim-splitting.
- 6.3 Any claims by Defendants, civil or administrative, against the People or against any agency of the State of California, or any county or city in the State of California, or any local agency (collectively, "Agencies"), or against any of their officers, employees, representatives, agents, or attorneys, arising out of or related to any Covered Matter are hereby merged into and extinguished by this Final Judgment; provided, however, that if any Agencies initiate claims against Defendant, Defendant retains any and all rights and defenses against such Agencies.

## 7.0 Enforcement of Final Judgment and Penalties

The People may move this Court for additional relief for any violation of any portion of this Final Judgment including but not limited to contempt, additional injunctive relief, consistent with the provisions of this Final Judgment. Nothing in this Final Judgment shall limit any rights of the People to seek any other relief or remedies provided by law or the rights of Defendants to defend against any request of the People for such other relief or remedies. Prior to taking any action to enforce the injunctive provisions of this Judgment by civil contempt or pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17207, Plaintiff shall provide Defendant with at least ten (10) days' notice before filing any such action.

#### 8.0 Additional Provisions

#### 8.1 Amendment

This Stipulated Judgment may not be amended or modified except by a writing signed by the Parties.

# 8.2 Binding Effect

This Stipulated Judgment and its terms, covenants, conditions, provisions, obligations, undertakings, rights and benefits shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties and their respective successors-in-interest and assigns.

## 8.3 Consent to Judgment

Plaintiff and Defendants, by and through their respective and duly authorized representatives, hereby stipulate and consent to this Stipulated Judgment.

# 8.4 Costs and Attorney's Fees

Except as specifically provided herein, each Party to this Stipulated Judgment will bear its own costs and attorney's fees incurred in prosecuting, defending, and settling this Action.

## 8.5 Counterparts

This Stipulated Judgment may be signed in counterparts by the Parties and/or their attorneys and those counterparts when taken together shall have the same force and effect as if a single, original document has been signed by the Parties.

# 8.6 Entire Agreement

This Stipulated Judgment is intended to be a final and binding resolution of the subject matter set forth in this Stipulated Judgment and supersedes and replaces any and all prior negotiations, confirmatory letters, and proposed agreements or final agreements, whether written or oral.

# 8.7 Entry

This Judgment shall go into effect immediately upon entry hereof. Entry is authorized immediately upon filing. The Parties need not file a Notice of Entry of Judgment.

# 8.8 Governing Law and Venue

This Stipulated Judgment and its enforcement shall be governed by the laws of the State of California exclusive of its choice of law principles. The Parties agree that

proper venue for any dispute or litigation arising from this Stipulated Judgment shall be Sacramento, California.

### 8.9 Jurisdiction Retained

The Judge of the Sacramento County Superior Court, or any successor or replacement judge appointed by the Presiding Judge of the Sacramento County Superior Court, shall retain continuing jurisdiction over the Parties to enforce the terms of this Stipulated Judgment and to resolve any and all disputes relating to, or arising out of, this Stipulated Judgment pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

## 8.10 More Stringent Requirements

Nothing in this Stipulated Judgment shall excuse Defendants from meeting any more stringent requirements that may be imposed after the execution date of this judgment by changes in applicable and legally binding legislation or regulations; however, consistent with Paragraph 6.0, Plaintiff is releasing all claims related to such additional requirements that accrued before the execution date of this Stipulated Judgment.

#### 8.11 Neutral Construction

The Parties acknowledge and agree that the terms and provisions of this

Stipulated Judgment have been negotiated and discussed between the Parties and their counsel, and this Stipulated Judgment reflects their mutual agreement regarding the same. No Party shall be deemed to have drafted this Stipulated Judgment, and this Stipulated Judgment shall be construed neutrally and shall not be applied or interpreted more strictly against one Party than another Party.

#### 8.12 Notice

All notices required or permitted to be given pursuant to this Stipulated Judgment shall be sent by email and by first class mail, postage prepaid, as follows:

If to Defendants:

John C. McCarron
Downey Brand LLP
621 Capitol Mall, 18th Floor
Sacramento, California 95814
jmccarron@downeybrand.com

If to Plaintiff:

Lisa Brown, Deputy District Attorney
Office of the District Attorney, Sacramento County
Consumer & Environmental Protection Division
906 G Street, Suite 700
Sacramento, CA 95814
BrownL@sacda.org

The Parties shall inform each other of any change in the address, email address, or identity of person(s) who notice is to be directed pursuant to this section.

# 8.13 No Waiver of Right to Enforce

The failure of Plaintiff to enforce any provision of this Final Judgment shall neither be deemed a waiver of such provision nor in any way affect the validity of this Final Judgment. The failure of Plaintiff to enforce any such provision shall not preclude them from later enforcing the same or any other provision of this Final Judgment. Except as expressly provided in this Final Judgment, Defendants retain all defenses to any such later enforcement action.

# 8.14 Representations and Warranties

Each Party to this Stipulated Judgment represents, warrants and agrees as follows: each Party has made such investigation of the facts and matters pertaining to this Stipulated Judgment as it deems necessary and is not relying on any representations made by the other Parties in entering into this Stipulated Judgment; each Party has had an opportunity to consult with an attorney regarding the contents of this Stipulated Judgment; each Party or the responsible agent of the Party has read this

1	Stipulated Judgment and understands its contents; and each person signing this
2	Stipulated Judgment has the full authority to sign on behalf of the Party for which he or
3	she is signing and to bind that Party to the obligations and commitments set forth
4	herein.
5	8.15 Waiver of Terms
6	A waiver of any term or condition of this Stipulated Judgment shall not be
7	deemed to be a waiver of any other term or condition of this Stipulated Judgment or as
8	to the future applicability of such term or condition unless agreed to in writing by the
9	waiving Party.
10	IT IS SO STIPULATED.
11	
12	For the Plaintiff:
13	
14	Dated: 3/28/2025 THIEN HO Sacramento County District Attorney
15	
16	By:
17	Lisa Brown, Deputy District Attorney
18	Dated: 7/10/2025 LISA A. SMITTCAMP
19	Dated: 3/10/2025 EISAA. SMITTCAMP Fresno County District Attorney
20	711.
21	By:
22	
23	NATHAN HOCHMAN Los Angeles County District Attorney
24	
25	Dated: By: Louis Morin, Deputy District Attorney
26	Louis month, Dopaty District Monthly
27	
28	

PROPOSED) PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND FINAL JUDGMENT
PURSUANT TO STIPULATION BETWEEN PLAINTIFF AND DEFENDANTS | Page 13 of 24

1	Stipulated Judgment and understands its contents, and each person signing this			
2	Stipulated Judgment has the full authority to sign on behalf of the Party for which he or			
3	she is signing and to bind that Party to the obligations and commitments set forth			
4	herein			
5	8 15 Waiver of Terms			
"	A waiver of any term or condition of this Stipulated Judgment shall not be			
7	deemed to be a waiver of any other term or condition of this Stipulated Judgment or as			
X	to the future applicability of such ferm or condition unless agreed to in writing by the			
9	waiving Party			
()	IT IS SO STIPULATED			
1				
2	For the Plaintiff			
;				
1	Dated THIEN HO Sacramento County District Attorney			
1				
16	Ву			
17	Lisa Brown, Deputy District Attorney			
18	Dated LISA A SMITTCAMP			
14	Fresno County District Attorney			
20				
21	By			
22				
23	NATHAN HOCHMAN Los Angeles County District Attorney			
24	Dated 4/1/25 By AWM			
25	Dated 9/1/03  By  Louis Morin, Deputy District Attorney			
26				
27				
28	PROPOSED) PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND FINAL JUDGMENT			
	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION BETWEEN PLAINTIEF AND DEFENDANTS   Page 13 of 24			

2		RODRIGO CASTRO SILVA Los Angeles County Counsel
3		Cos Angeles Goding Godiner
4	Dated 4-10-15	By Malone Senior Deputy Counsel
6	: *	
,		TODD SPITZER Orange County District Attorney
×	í	
4	Dated	Бу
10	1	Alicia Berry Deputy District Attorney
11		
12		MICHAEL A HESTRIN
1 7		Riverside County District Attorney
14	Dated	By
İ÷		Lauren R Martineau, Deputy District Attorney
17		JOYCE E DUDLEY Santa Barbara County District Attorney
18	Dated	By Christopher B Dalbey, Deputy District Attorney
20 21		JASON ANDERSON San Bernardino County District Attorney
ובב	Dated:	Ву
23		Rick C Lal, Deputy District Attorney
24		5 Solid Public Publicy
25		SUMMER STEPHAN San Diego County District Attorney
26	2	0
27	Dated:	College Harable Barrie Barrier
28		Colleen Huschke, Deputy District Attorney
	PROPOSITED) PERMANENT I PURSUANT TO STIPULATION BETWEE	NJUNCTION AND FINAL JUDGMENT N PLAINTIFF AND DEFENDANTS   Page 14 of 24

1 2		RODRIGO CASTRO SILVA Los Angeles County Counsel
3 4	Dated:	By: Jennifer Malone, Senior Deputy Counsel
5		
6		TODD SPITZER Orange County District Attorney
7	· /	Craings Sound, Enamers and the
8	Dated:	By:
9		Alicia Berry, Deputy District Attorney
10		
11		MICHAEL A. HESTRIN
12		Riverside County District Attorney
13	Dated: March 10, 2025	Ву:
14		Lauren R. Martineau, Deputy District Attorney
15		JOYCE E. DUDLEY
16		Santa Barbara County District Attorney
17	Dated:	Rv <sup>.</sup>
18	Dateu	By: Christopher B. Dalbey, Deputy District Attorney
19		
20		JASON ANDERSON San Bernardino County District Attorney
21		
22	Dated:	By:
23	a.	Rick C. Lal, Deputy District Attorney
24		SUMMER STEPHAN San Diego County District Attorney
25		San Diego County District Attorney
26	Dated:	By:
27		Colleen Huschke, Deputy District Attorney
28		
	PROPOSED) PERMANENT PURSUANT TO STIPULATION BETWE	INJUNCTION AND FINAL JUDGMENT EN PLAINTIFF AND DEFENDANTS   Page 14 of 24

1 2		RODRIGO CASTRO SILVA Los Angeles County Counsel	
3		D	
4	Dated:	By: Jennifer Malone, Senior Deputy Counsel	
5			
6		TODD SPITZER	
7		Orange County District Attorney	
8	Dated:	By:	
9		Alicia Berry, Deputy District Attorney	
10			
11	,	MICHAEL A. HESTRIN	
12	,	Riverside County District Attorney	
13	Datad	Bv.	
14	Dated:	By: Lauren R. Martineau, Deputy District Attorney	
15			
16		JOHN T. SAVRNOCH Santa Barbara County District Attorney	
17	March 10, 2025	Controle -	
18	March 10, 2025 Dated:	By:	
19			
20		JASON ANDERSON San Bernardino County District Attorney	
21		San Demarding County District Attorney	
22	Dated:	By:	
23		Rick C. Lal, Deputy District Attorney	
24		SUMMER STEPHAN	
25		San Diego County District Attorney	
26	Dated:	By:	
27	Dutou	Colleen Huschke, Deputy District Attorney	
28		•	
	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION BETWEEN PLAINTIFF AND DEFENDANTS   Page 14 of 24		

2		RODRIGO CASTRO SILVA Los Angeles County Counsel
3 4 5	Dated	By
6		TODD SPITZER Orange County District Attorney
9	Dated	By Alicia Berry. Deputy District Attorney
11		MICHAEL A HESTRIN Riverside County District Attorney
13 14 15	Dated	By:
16 17		JOYCE E. DUDLEY Santa Barbara County District Attorney
18	Dated	By Christopher B. Dalbey, Deputy District Attorney
20 21		JASON ANDERSON San Bernardino County District Attorney
22	Dated:	By Rel (a)
23	Dateo:	Rick C. Lal, Deputy District Attorney
24		SUMMER STEPHAN
25 26		San Diego County District Attorney
27	Dated	Ву
28		Colleen Huschke, Deputy District Attorney
	PROPOSI DI PERMANENI PURSUANT TO STIPULATION BETWI	INJUNCTION AND FINAL JUDGMENT EN PLAINTIFF AND DEFENDANTS   Page 14 of 24

1 2		RODRIGO CASTRO SILVA Los Angeles County Counsel
3		
4	Dated:	By: Jennifer Malone, Senior Deputy Counsel
5		Jennier Maione, Semoi Deputy Sounds
6		TODD SPITZER
7		Orange County District Attorney
8	D. A. A.	By:
9	Dated:	Alicia Berry, Deputy District Attorney
10		,
11		NAIGUAEL A LIECTRIN
12		MICHAEL A. HESTRIN Riverside County District Attorney
13		D.v.
14	Dated:	By: Lauren R. Martineau, Deputy District Attorney
15		
16		JOYCE E. DUDLEY Santa Barbara County District Attorney
17		
18	Dated:	By: Christopher B. Dalbey, Deputy District Attorney
19		
20		JASON ANDERSON San Bernardino County District Attorney
21		San Bomaramo Souni, Sistemani,
22	Dated:	Ву:
23		Rick C. Lal, Deputy District Attorney
24		SUMMER STEPHAN
25		San Diego County District Attorney
26	Dated: March 10, 2025	By: bellen Husch Ke
27		Colleen Huschke, Deputy District Attorney
28	PROPOSED) PERMANENT	INJUNCTION AND FINAL JUDGMENT
	TROPOSED FERMINENT	ALTERNATION OF THE PROPERTY OF

1	7	RODRIGO CASTRO SILVA	
2		Los Angeles County Counsel	
3	Dated:	By:	
4	7	Jennifer Malone, Senior Deputy Counsel	
5			
6 7		TODD SPITZER Orange County District Attorney	
8	Dated: March 12, 2025	By: When Bamy	
9		Alicia Berry, Deputy District Attorney	
10			
11		MICHAEL A. HESTRIN	
12	,	Riverside County District Attorney	
13	Dated:	By:	
14		Lauren R. Martineau, Deputy District Attorney	
15		JOYCE E. DUDLEY	
16		Santa Barbara County District Attorney	
17 18	Dated:	By:	
19		Christopher B. Dalbey, Deputy District Attorney	
20		JASON ANDERSON San Bernardino County District Attorney	
21		San Bernardino County District Attorney	
22	Dated:	Ву:	
23		Rick C. Lal, Deputy District Attorney	
24		SUMMER STEPHAN San Diego County District Attorney	
25		San Diego County District Attorney	
26	Dated:	By:	
27		Colleen Huschke, Deputy District Attorney	
28	PROPOSED) PERMANE	INT INJUNCTION AND FINAL JUDGMENT	
	PROPOSED) PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND FINAL JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO STIPULATION BETWEEN PLAINTIFF AND DEFENDANTS   Page 14 of 24		

- 11			
1		RONALD J. FREITAS	
2		San Joaquin County District Attorney	
3	Dated: 3/3/2025	By: 1/4/4 (11/1)	
4	Dutou	Celeste Kaisch, Deputy District Attorney	
5			
6		ERIK NASARENKO Ventura County District Attorney	
7		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
8	Dated:	By:	
9	Datiou	Karen Wold, Deputy District Attorney	
10			
11	For Defendants		
12	Dated:		
13	Butou.	Michael Adams, Defendant	
14			
15	Dated:	Defendant and	
16		Brandon Michael Adams, Defendant and CEO of Defendants Pure Maintenance Holdings	
17		and Pure Products LLC	
18			
19	Approved as to form		
20	Dated:		
21	Dateu	John McCarron, SBN 225217	
22	1	Downey Brand LLP Attorney for Defendants	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
24			
25	Dated:		
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
28	>		
20	PROPOSED) PERMANEN	T INJUNCTION AND FINAL JUDGMENT	
	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION BETWEEN PLAINTIFF AND DEFENDANTS   Page 15 of 24		

1 2	RON San	JALD J. FREITAS Joaquin County District Attorney
3 4 5		este Kaisch, Deputy District Attorney
6 7	ERI Ven	K NASARENKO tura County District Attorney
8 9 10 11	Dated: 3/10/2025 By:	en Wold, Deputy District Attorney
12	For Defendants	-
13 14	Dated:Mic	hael Adams, Defendant
15 16 17 18	Dated:Bra	ndon Michael Adams, Defendant and O of Defendants Pure Maintenance Holdings I Pure Products LLC
19	A sector forms	-
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	Dated:	nn McCarron, SBN 225217 wney Brand LLP
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	IT IS SO ORDERED.	orney for Defendants
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	5 Dated:	DGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
28	DEPMANENT INIT	NCTION AND FINAL JUDGMENT AINTIFF AND DEFENDANTS   Page 15 of 24

-		FIGNALD J. FREITAS Sixty District Attorney
4	David	Py On the Karleth Deputy District Attorney
6		ERIK NASARENKO West via County District Attorney
X 9	Dated	By Kierin Walif Deputy District Attorney
1	For Defendants	11 . 11
2	Dated 1.75	Michael Adams Defendant
14 15 16 17 18	Dated 3/24/25	Brandon Michael Adams, Defendant and CEO of Defendants Pure Maintenance Holdings and Pure Products LLC
19	Approved as to form	Joh Mih
21	Dated 3 March 28, 2025	John McCarron, SBN 225217  Downey Brand LLP  Attorney for Defendants
23 24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Allomey to beleficially
25 26 27	Dated06/03/2025	Richard K. Sueyoshi, Judge JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
28	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION BLIN	VERNIENCTION AND FINAL JUDGMENT VEEN PLAINTIEL AND DEFENDANTS   Page 15 of 24

**ERIK NASARENKO** RONALD J. FREITAS District Attorney, Ventura County District Attorney of San Joaquin County Karen Wold, SBN 132701 Celeste Kaisch, SBN 234174 Deputy District Attorney Deputy District Attorney 800 South Victoria Ave. 222 E Weber Ave Courthouse #202 Ventura, CA 93009-2730 Stockton, CA 95202 805-662-1750 (209) 468-2400 Karen.Wold@ventura.org celeste.kaisch@sjcda.org PROPOSED) PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND FINAL JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO STIPULATION BETWEEN PLAINTIFF AND DEFENDANTS | Page 17 of 24 Civil penalties in accordance with California Business and Professions Code

sections 17203 and 17536

to be paid in accordance with Exhibits C-G

Payable to	FEIN	Penalty
Fresno County District Attorney's Office	94-6000512	\$12,500
Los Angeles County District Attorney's	95-6000927	\$12,500
Office		
Los Angeles County Counsel's Office	95-6000927	\$12,500
Orange County District Attorney's Office	95-6000928	\$12,500
Riverside County District Attorney's Office	95-6000930	\$12,500
Sacramento County District Attorney's Office	94-6000529	\$50,000
Santa Barbara County District Attorney's Office	95-6002833	\$50,000
San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office	95-6002748	\$12,500
San Diego County District Attorney's Office	95-6000934	\$12,500
San Joaquin County District Attorney's Office	94-6000531	\$12,500
Ventura County District Attorney's Office	95-6000944	\$50,000
Total		\$275,000

### Exhibit B

Partial reimbursement of Plaintiff's attorney's fees, investigation, and other costs of enforcement to be paid in accordance with Exhibits C-G

1		
Payable to	FEIN	Amount
Sacramento County District Attorney's Office	94-	\$50,000
	6000529	
Santa Barbara County District Attorney's Office	95-	\$50,000
1	6002833	
Ventura County District Attorney's Office	95-	\$50,000
	6000944	
Total		\$150,000

### Exhibit C

# Payment Schedule for Payments in 2025

# Payment Due on or Before May 30, 2025

Payable to	Amount
Santa Barbara County District Attorney's Office	\$42,500
Total	\$42,500

# Payment Due on or Before October 31, 2025

Payable to	Amount
Ventura County District Attorney's Office	\$42,500
Total	\$42,500

PROPOSED) PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND FINAL JUDGMENT
PURSUANT TO STIPULATION BETWEEN PLAINTIFF AND DEFENDANTS | Page 21 of 24